
ANALYSIS OF THE PROBLEMS AND LIMITATIONS OF USING EXPERT SYSTEMS IN COMPUTERS

Dr. Radhika Rapaka Ph.D , M.sc, M.Tech, CEH, CHFI, CSSBB, AWS, MCP

Abstract

The motivation behind this paper is to survey enter ideas in expert systems, over the life cycle of expert system advancement. Thus, we will dissect the decision of the application zone for system improvement, gathering learning through purported information acquisition, picking an information portrayal, building in clarification and verifying and validating the system. Also, we break down various diverse applications of expert systems over a wide base of application zones, including medicine, geology and business. Further, we research a portion of the augmentations to and developing zones related with expert systems.

Keyword: *Expert Systems*

1. INTRODUCTION

In the mid 1970's there was substantial enthusiasm for contemplating choices by experts that did not utilize statistical or other mathematical devices, and deciding whether and how such choices could be demonstrated in a PC. Specifically, there was an enthusiasm with researching calculated and symbolic techniques suitable for demonstrating physician and other expert basic leadership e.g., Shortliffe .Out of this condition, the idea of a expert system advanced.

The idea of expert systems is practically enchanted: essentially catch human expertise and place it into a computer program. Instead of stress over a man, a computer program that incorporates the greater part of the applicable and proper learning could be developed and transported far and wide. For instance, Rose announced that Southern California Edison (SCE) had an expert whose investigating had helped guard a

dam. Nonetheless, SCE was perplexed their expert would resign or quit, and they stressed that he may "get hit by a bus." subsequently, SCE anticipated utilizing an expert system to endeavor to "clone" one of their engineers, at last making a computer program that caught his expertise.

The term expert system clearly started to be supplanted by the expression "knowledge-based system" in the mid 1980's to mid 1990's (e.g., Hayes-Roth [1] and Davis [2]). The shift was one that would start to expel the requirement for labeling a system with "expert," and diminish the buildup, yet at the same time would require that the system be "knowledge-based." This name shift put less immediate weight on developers to assemble systems that were proportional to experts, yet in addition was indication of a business and research shift far from expert systems and advancement to different types of problem thinking approaches.

2. EXPERT SYSTEM: AN OVERVIEW

The term expert system has been extensively connected to various systems, clearly for various distinctive reasons. At different focuses in time, the expression "expert system" has suggested a sort of information portrayal, a system to play out a specific undertaking, the level of execution of the system:

- **Rule-based Knowledge Representation:** As noted above, individuals seemed to utilize rules to motivation to conclusions, and experts were viewed as supplying rules that would be utilized to direct others through undertaking arrangement. Therefore, the vast majority of the purported "expert systems" most likely were "rule – based systems." Researchers had seen that this kind of thinking evidently was frequently utilized by individuals to take care of issues. Purported experts appeared to reason along these lines, so the systems were "expert."
- **Activity/Task of the system:** Another method of reasoning for labeling a system an "expert system," was on the grounds that the system played out a particular assignment that human experts did. Experts appear to structured thinking approaches that could be demonstrated to help take care of different issues, e.g., picking a wine to go with dinner.
- **Level of performance of the system:** One point of view was that a system was an "expert system" on the off chance that it played out an

assignment at the level of a "human expert." For instance, Buchanan and Feigenbaum contend that the DENDRAL system worked at an indistinguishable level from a human expert.

- **System Dependence:** In any case, despite the fact that the system was expert, it was for the most part still reliant on individuals for environmental evaluations of conditions, and comparing data input. Expert systems for the most part were subject to the client for a scope of exercises and in this manner reliant on the user. facts recorded in databases frequently require elucidation." accordingly, the greater part of the self announced expert systems regularly gave an intelligent counsel that implied that the system was as yet dependent on individuals [3].

3. EXPERT SYSTEM APPLICATIONS AND CHARACTERISTICS

Since expert systems identified with the capacity of a computer program to emulate an expert, expert systems were fundamentally about applications, and contrasting those human experts and systems. The underlying objective of expert systems at some level was frequently to demonstrate that the framework could perform at an indistinguishable level from a person. Be that as it may, as they put these systems in environments with individuals we began to understand various key components. Initially, commonly, with the end goal for there to be a rule-base to take care of the issue, the issue should be structural. Second, systems may support or

supplant humans. Third, one of the key reasons that a system may supplant a human is the measure of accessible time to take care of the issue, not simply learning [4].

Structured vs. Unstructured Tasks: Expert systems and their rule-based approaches depend with respect to having the capacity to structure an issue in a formal way. Standards gave a unifying together and basic formalism that could be utilized to structure an assignment. In this way, in spite of the fact that the issue might not have had adequate information to be dissected statistically, or couldn't be improved, there was still data that encouraged organizing the issue and learning about the issue in a formal way.

Support vs. Replace: Expert systems were frequently observed as a vehicle to supplant human experts. Numerous systems obviously at first were intended to supplant individuals. Be that as it may, in numerous basic leadership circumstances, the emphasis was on giving a chief help. In a dialog of an accounting system Exper-TAX, the expert system isn't intended to supplant accountants, yet rather improving and supporting guidance for individuals.

Available Time: Another essential issue in the support versus supplant question was how much time was accessible to settle on the choice. On the off chance that an issue should have been solved continuously, at that point maybe support was out the inquiry, especially if there were numerous choices to be made. Further, regardless of the possibility that the system was to support an expert, maybe it could give experiences and learning so the expert did not have to look for information somewhere else.

4. APPLICATIONS

Because of its consideration on modeling and emulating expertise, finally, the field of expert systems has been application masterminded. There have been innumerable of expert systems, in a sweeping number of different reaches, including Chemical Applications, Medical finding, Mineral Exploration, Computer Configuration, Financial Applications, Taxation Applications. Applications have expected a basic part in expert system innovation progression. As expert system advances and techniques were associated with enable take to care of true issues, new hypothetical upgrades were delivered, some of which are analyzed underneath [5].

Chemical Applications: A segment of the most reliable employments of expert systems happened in this field .DENDRAL and Meta-DENDRAL are programs that assistance scientific experts with deciphering information. The DENDRAL programs use a lot of finding out about mass spectrometry to help with the derivation in the matter of what a compound may be. The yield from the program is a point by point list with as much detail as the program can give.

Therapeutic Diagnosis Expert Systems: Medicine was one of the primary employments of expert systems. It has been presented a social occasion of papers covering the principle decade of employments in this space. It has been immediately dense a segment of the responsibilities of therapeutic expert systems to solution. MYCIN was a win at having the ability to analyze irresistible maladies. Show Illness Program (PIP) delivered theories

about infection in patients with renal sickness. INTERNIST-1 was a system planned to help conclusion of general inward medication issues. Since that time there has been critical research in medicinal expert systems. One of the fundamental changes related with medicinal expert system was using powerlessness on rules, which is analyzed encourage underneath [6].

Topography Advisor: In geography, an expert system was made to help the examination of penetrating site soil tests for oil examination. Miner I and II were worked with more than 2,000 fundamentals getting information about the geologic setting and sorts of rocks and minerals, to empower geologists to find shrouded mineral stores. Digger I was made nearby an alternative depiction of weakness on chooses that earned critical thought and is discussed advance underneath.

Computer Configuration: Configuration was one of the principle major modern applications of expert systems. Possibly the best known configuration expert system was XCON, generally called R1. XCON was touted as the principle expert system in consistently generation use in an industry setting. At one point in time, XCON was only a solitary of various expert systems being utilized as a part of at the computer maker "Computerized" to design equipment and programming. As an expert system, XCON was used to support the customer orders for specialized rightness and to direct demand gathering. It has furthermore delineate different other expert systems that were being utilized at Digital in the midst of an indistinct time from XCON, including

- XSEL that was used astutely to help the choice of saleable parts for a customer arrange
- XFL that was used to graph a computer room floor arrange for the plan under idea
- XNET, used to outline neighborhood to pick appropriate parts

Apparently as anybody may expect, these mechanical applications had tremendous learning bases. For example, as of September 1988, XCON had more than 10,000 tenets, XSEL had more than 3500 standards, XFL had more than 1800 guidelines and XNET, and a model had around 1700 principles.

Taxation Applications at the IRS: It has explored and sketched out the taxation applications expert systems writing, and gave a consideration on applications at the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). All through the IRS's relationship in man-made insight starting in 1983, the IRS focused on the limit of the development to help handle genuine issues. Different expert system wanders were delivered and had a go at, including the going with. A "tax return issue ID" expert system was proposed to help recognize singular tax comes back with "great review potential." A "sensible reason assurance" expert system was created in light of the way that it was found that the mistake rate by people was too high. Along these lines, the system was intended to upgrade the consistency and nature of purported "sensible reason conclusions." A "computerized under-journalist" expert system that was expected to help tax analysts evaluate whether particular taxpayers authentically uncovered wage.

Examining and Accounting: The fields of inspecting and bookkeeping have made a huge writing of applications. The thought behind the progression of various such systems was inviting: evaluators and bookkeepers used measures to deal with various issues that they went up against. Expert systems were used to display judgment decisions made by the individuals.

Issues with Gathering Knowledge from Experts: Different issues have been accounted for related with get-together learning from experts. In the first place, information is control. Appropriately, shockingly, experts don't for the most part have impetuses to arrange. For example, one expert noted in Orlikowski, in the matter of why expert counsels at one association were not enlivened by appreciating learning obtaining, "Power in this firm is your client base and specialized limit. It is positively a component of counseling firms. There will be nothing that is aware of you, so you will lose control. It's basic that I am offering something that no one else has.

Discontinuously experts don't purposefully understand what they do. In this way, any undertaking to talk with them won't realize the quality or amount of discovering that is vital for a system to work. SCE had their software engineers contemplate dam prosperity and development building scrutinizing some before the learning procurement. By then the software engineers met one on one with the expert in an austere meeting room. Their at first meeting persevered through seven hours. They got the majority of the communication using a recording device. Tragically, the undertakings to join the system continued running with inconveniences. Early types of

the program exhibited issues. Basically every situation completed with the recommendation to pack the issue wet zone with rock and hold it under observation. They constrained the focus to a singular dam with an ultimate objective to create satisfactory detail and encounters. In any case, notwithstanding following a very long time of work, the information base had only twenty unique principles [7].

5. EXPERT SYSTEM: STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS

Tragically, the simple term "expert" has put much weight that the system performs at a suitable level. This name is both strength and a shortcoming. This segment records some other of the strengths and limitations of expert systems.

Strengths

Expert systems have given the capacity to take care of genuine issues utilizing the control of syntactic and semantic data, as opposed to measured data, giving a noteworthy change in the view with reference to what computer could do. Specifically, if the issue being postured to the system is one for which rule based learning is powerful, at that point the system is probably going to have the capacity to give a prescribed arrangement.

Further, expert systems can be incorporated with other computer-based abilities. Thus, they can do significant "pre-examination" of the information. For instance, on account of budgetary systems, monetary proportions can be registered and investigated, sparing much time and exertion.

Limitations

In any case, there are likewise a few limitations related with expert systems. One of the greatest "protests" against expert system has been the degree to which they are restricted in scope and that the systems don't have the foggiest idea about their limitations. Exemplary expert systems have rules that emphasis just on the issues that it is intended to explain, bringing about their restricted degree. For the most part expert systems don't know when an issue being postured by the client is outside of extent of the system.

From a useful viewpoint, expert systems "... require unpredictable and unpretentious communications amongst machines and people, each instructing and gaining from other." Rather than being static, systems and individuals need to learn and change to oblige each other.

It was an early examiner who noticed that individuals, other than the creators of the rules may experience issues altering the rule set. It had worries with the essential rule formalism for catching learning. For instance, Clancy noted "... the view that expert information can be encoded as a uniform ... set of if/at that point affiliations is observed to need."

Getting and staying up with the latest learning is another potential limitation. For instance, in the region of United States taxation, the tax rules change each year. A few rules are new and a few rules are not any more substantial. Such rule-base changes are not uncommon in any setting where innovation is included that must change frequently more than once per year.

For instance, envision building up a system to enable somebody to pick the correct cell phone.

At last, an essential limitation to expert systems is delineated by remark from Mike Ditka, a lobby of distinction American Football player. On a radio meeting on Los Angeles Area radio, while looking at assessing football players, he noted "... the intangibles are more imperative than the physical assets." Viewed from the point of view of expert systems, this proposes in spite of the fact that we can information, that other learning is out there, yet not caught, and much of the time that extra information might be the most essential [8].

6. EXPERT SYSTEMS AND EMERGING RESEARCH ISSUES

The fundamental model of the expert system showed to this point is one where knowledge is amassed from a solitary expert and that knowledge is arranged as "if ... then ..." rules, as a purpose behind mapping expertise into a computer program. Regardless, there have been a couple of extensions to that essential model, including the going with.

Different Experts or Knowledge Bases

It has discussed a medicinal system named "Pathfinder" that was outlined around various experts. Instead of having the system creators try to combine data collected from different experts into a solitary learning base, the plan thought was to empower the system to gather gaining from the various experts, when it required it.

Knowledge from Data

Social event knowledge from experts finally wound up discernibly known as a "container neck." in some cases data was open, so as opposed to getting what people said they did, an examination of the data found what they truly did. A couple of specialists began to attempt to get knowledge from data, rather than encountering incredible meeting shapes. Finally, the consideration on creating knowledge from data ended up making the idea and field of knowledge revelation.

Neural nets moreover gave a vehicle to get data about data. Finally, neural nets have been used to make concludes that are used as a piece of expert systems and expert systems have been attempted to endeavor to clear up rules delivered from neural systems.

Elective Forms of Knowledge Representation

As scientists examined thinking and assembled systems they found that rules obviously were by all record not by any means the only way that people thought, or the ways that the specialists could address data. For example, one line of thinking recommended that people used cases or cases on which to base their thinking. As delineation, specialists assembled outline based thinking systems. Casings empower specialists to get outlines that allow heuristic organizing, e.g., as was done with GRUNDY. Hence, case-based thinking and distinctive sorts of data depiction helped drive analysts to sorts of learning depiction past principles endeavoring to arrange the way that people use learning.

Elective Problem Solving Approaches

Not simply knowledge depiction changed, even extraordinary sorts of critical thinking approaches were used. For example, as upheld by Shortliffe, "The term (expert systems) has in this manner been expanded as the field has been advanced, with the goal that an expert system's underlying foundations in counterfeit consciousness research can never again be assumed ... any choice emotionally supportive network is an expert system on the off chance that it is intended to give expert level issue particular exhortation" [9]

Expertise

Since expert systems were resolved to getting human expertise in a computer program, this incited a need to better grasp expertise and what it proposed to be an expert. Appropriately, since the introduction of expert systems there has been impressive additional examination in the possibility of expertise, not precisely how expertise can be mapped into a computer program.

Vulnerability Representation

Making expert systems for different territories ended up empowering the progression of different techniques for addressing powerlessness. Nevertheless, additional examination has focused on pushing toward Bayes' Nets and effect outlines (e.g., Pearl 44) and moving a long way from the MYCIN sureness factors and the Prospector likelihood extents.

The Internet and Connecting Systems

Generally, the expert system wave went before the Internet. Appropriately, the

accentuation was on systems for a specific computer, and not sorted out computers. In this manner, there was limited research about systems of expert systems. In any case, since the presence of the Internet, expert system thoughts were connected with learning servers and different wise operators. Furthermore, advances, for instance, extensible increase dialect (xml) are right now used to get data containing rules and data, and pass on it around the world.

Ontologies

Further, engineers found that as expert systems created or were related and joined with various systems that more formal variable definition was vital. Huge factor sets ought to have been controlled and precisely supervised, particularly in multilingual conditions. In this way, expanding those expert system limits incited a part of the work on ontologies.

Installed Intelligence versus Remain solitary Systems

Logically, instead of exceedingly evident remain solitary applications, rule-based understanding was consolidated with other creation applications. Since the systems were not remain solitary expert systems, customers did not "see" the embedded expertise: People don't go watch out for what the expert system needs to state – programs now are as of late more smart. For example, settling spelling mix-ups and linguistic use blunder in Word, requires a particular measure of knowledge.

Business Rules

As another sort of advancement, there is directly eagerness by organizations in gathered "business rules." As might be predicted, business rules acknowledge that organizations use rules in their relationship with various organizations. Rather than sit tight for people to settle on decisions business rules get those essential authority limits. Business rules have for all intents and purposes most of a vague stresses from we found in expert system rules, similar to knowledge procurement, knowledge portrayal, check and approval etc. [10].

7. CONCLUSION

Expert systems have given a fundamental starting stage to perception and emulating human expertise. In any case, they were only a start. Expert systems focused on heuristic decision and rules, generally as appeared in "if by then" rules, maybe using weights on the rules to get weakness or vagueness. Expert system gave the foundations on which various distinctive advancements have been made.

REFERENCES

1. E. Shortliffe, Medical Expert Systems, Knowledge Tools for Physicians, *the Western Journal of Medicine*, December 1986, 830-839.
2. A. D. M. Africa. "An Expert System Algorithm for Computer System Diagnostics." *International Journal of Engineering (IJE)*, 5(5), PP. 435 - 467, 2011.
3. F. Hayes-Roth, the Knowledge-based Expert System, *IEEE Computer*, September 1984, 11-28.

4. R. Davis, Knowledge-based Systems, *Science*, 231: 4741, February 28, 1986.
5. Buchanan and E. Feigenbaum, Dentrul and Meta-Dentrul: Their Applications Dimension, Heuristic Programming Project Memo, 78-1, February 1978.
6. F. Rose, an 'Electronic' Clone of a Skilled Engineer is very hard to create, *The Wall Street Journal*, August 12, 1988.
7. J. King. "Knowledge based system development tools." Artificial Intelligence, Scotland: University of Edinburgh, pp 1-10.
8. S. Manda, S. Chatterjee, B. Neogi. (2012) "Diagnosis and Troubleshooting of Computer Faults Based On Expert System and Artificial Intelligence." *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 83(5), pp.717-729.
9. J. S. Bennet. "DART: An Expert System for Computer Fault Diagnosis." Heuristic Programming Project, pp.843-8454, 1980.
10. Piotr Golański, Przemysław Mądrzycki, (2015) "Use of the expert methods in computer based maintenance support of the m-28 aircraft." *Zeszytynaukoweakademii marynarki wojennej scientific journal of polish naval academy*, 2 (201), pp. 5-12.



**Dr. Radhika Rapaka Ph.D ,
M.sc, M.Tech, CEH, CHFI,
CSSBB, AWS, MCP**